

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,603	07/18/2003	Edwin A. Hallberg	02008678	4299	
26565 75	590 11/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, CHI Q	
	190 SOUTH LASALLE ST CHICAGO, IL 60603-3441		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3635		
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/200-	DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ameliantia - Na	Amulia-mid-)				
/	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Commence	10/622,603	HALLBERG, EDWIN A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chi Q Nguyen	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty rind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 July 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-20 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apportiority documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
 Rollice of Draitsperson's Faterit Drawing Review (FTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB, Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/622,603

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation followed by linking term "may be" and a narrow range or limitation within the broad range or limitation is considered indefinite since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wagner et al. (US 6,070367).

In regard claims 1, 2, Wagner teaches a folding stage comprising a first, a second platform panels 24. Each of the platform panel 24 having deck and support frame, and the first platform panel is pivotally connected to a second platform panel at P1 (see attached fig. 3), the second platform panel further being pivotally connected to

Art Unit: 3635

a base assembly 64 at P2 wherein when the first and second platform panels are in a position for use substantially parallel to a ground surface (see fig. 2) the second platform panel supported by a plurality of engaging support 28 and by the second platform panel.

In regard claims 3-7, Wagner further teaches a lock member 38 includes a catch for engaging a pin on the opposite frame member serves as a first platform panel restraint/lock for disengaged prior to folding and unfolding and engaged (see col. 4, lines 25-29, fig. 2), a second platform panel restraints 34 prevent the second platform panel from being pivoted to a position substantially parallel to the ground surface if the first platform panel is still in the storage position and connected to the first platform panel and engaged the base assembly via frame members 22 (fig. 1).

In regard claims 8-10, and 12-14, the ground engaging supports 28 having inner telescoping legs 80 extending outward from the upper outer legs 82 thus are adjustable in length, caster assembly 90 including wheels, and a lock stop 48.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wagner.

Art Unit: 3635

In regard claim 15-20 method of assembly, Wagner teaches the structural elements for the foldable stage as set forth. However, Wagner does not teach expressly the method steps from storage position to utilizing position as claimed by the applicant, examiner considers this to be the obvious method step of setting up device because in utilizing a foldable stage, one must obviously roll to and position on applicable place, disengage platform panels retainers, permit platform panels straight, lower ground engaging members or legs and adjust desirable highs. Wagner would be motivated to follow these steps to facilitate assembly of a foldable stage.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, Burton, Wagner, Bue, Terres, and Wilson teach a foldable stage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 605-1224, Mon-Thu (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached at (703) 308-0839. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3635

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1113.

CQN

10/29/04

Carl D. Friedman

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600